HIDRA II – Closing Remarks

R. Seitz, L. Bailey, A. Guskov

Second Plenary Meeting of the Second Phase International Project on Human Intrusion in the Context of Disposal of Radioactive Waste (HIDRA) - TM-55074

January 27, 2017



HIDRA II Objectives

- Share experience and practical considerations for the development and regulatory control of activities to consider potential IHI during development of the safety case
- Develop hypothetical working examples to test and illustrate practical application of the approaches identified in the HIDRA project and identify changes and refinements to the HIDRA approaches
- Provide recommendations to WASSC for future updates of safety standards.



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY WAGRAMERSTRASSE 5, P.O. BOX 100, A-1400 VIENNA, AUSTRIA FACSIMILE: (~43 1) 26007, TELEPHONE: (~43 1) 2600

- HIDRA -

The International Project On <u>Inadvertant</u> <u>H</u>uman <u>I</u>ntrusion in the context of <u>D</u>isposal of <u>RadioA</u>ctive Waste

Document History:

Version 0.2: Rough Draft for Plenary, 28 October 2013 Version 0.3: Draft after Plenary, 25 November 2013 Version 0.4: Draft after Working Group, September 2014 Version 0.5: Draft for Plenary, November 2014 Version 1.0: Draft for Plenary, November 2014 Version 1.1: Draft for participants & WASSC review, July 2015 Version 2.0: Final Draft for January 2016 Plenary Mneting, January 2016 Version 2.1: Comments addressed January 2017 Plenary Meeting, 25 Jan 2017



General HIDRA Approach



HIDRA II Draft Report Structure

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. CONTEXT FOR INADVERTENT HUMAN INTRUSION
- 3. RESULTS FROM HIDRA PHASE I
- 4. PROJECT DESCRIPTION
- 5. GEOLOGIC DISPOSAL
- 6. NEAR-SURFACE DISPOSAL
- 7. TOPICAL QUESTIONS AND ISSUES
- 8. COMAPARE/CONTRAST IHI FOR GEOLOGIC AND NEAR-SURFACE DISPOSAL
- 9. SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS
- **10. REFERENCES**

ANNEX I. GEOLOGIC DISPOSAL WORKING GROUP REPORT

ANNEX II. NEAR-SURFACE DISPOSAL WORKING GROUP REPORT



Working Groups

Near-Surface (Richard McLeod, Amelie de Hoyos)

- Generic examples for surface and near-surface facilities
- Role of quantitative calculations, measures influencing timing
- Prescriptive and non-prescriptive regulatory framework
- Geological (Thomas Hjerpe, Eva Andersson)
 - Generic example for facility in a clay formation
 - Focus on evaluation of measures rather than quantitative calculations
- Both groups emphasis on documentation of basis for decisions when selecting scenarios and approach



HIDRA II Approach

- Consideration of IHI within the PRISM/PRISMA decisionmaking process and safety case considerations
- Development of the regulatory framework to address IHI (e.g., prescriptive/flexible, criteria, quantitative/qualitative)
- Effective approaches for communication and consultation related to IHI at different steps in the lifecycle
- Role of IHI for decision making during lifecycle (key steps)
- Customization of the representative scenarios from HIDRA for a hypothetical facility and example calculations



Safety case evolution over facility lifetime



Iterative approach for scenario development

Protective Measures





HIDRA II Approach (continued)

- Use of the measures database from HIDRA to identify measures for a specific facility and associated customization of IHI scenarios for the hypothetical facility as applicable
- Practical implementation of optimisation to reduce the potential for and/or consequences of IHI using the representative scenarios and measures developed for the hypothetical examples
- Role of passive/in-direct controls/oversight to determine timing of IHI (consideration of ICRP and IAEA terminology)



Derivation of protective measures



HIDRA Report Status

Initial draft of HIDRA II report is available on server (rough at this point)

HIDRA II draft includes bullet summary for topical questions/issues

Country Examples (?)



Topical Questions and Issues

- 7. TOPICAL QUESTIONS AND ISSUES
 - 7.1 ISOLATION IN THE CONTEXT OF IHI
 - 7.2 STYLISED SCENARIOS
 - 7.3 INADVERTENT AND DELIBERATE INTRUSION
 - 7.4 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS
 - 7.4.1 Quantitative or qualitative
 - 7.4.2 Prescriptive or non-prescriptive (extent of stylisation)
 - 7.4.3 Worst case or random, probabilities/likelihood
 - 7.4.4 Role of IHI for siting
 - 7.4.5 Consideration of Radon in IHI assessment
 - 7.4.6 Consideration of water use for IHI
 - 7.5 Controls During Time Frame from 100 500 Years (Near-surface disposal)



Topical Questions and Issues

- 7. TOPICAL QUESTIONS AND ISSUES
 - 7.1 ISOLATION IN THE CONTEXT OF IHI
 - 7.2 STYLISED SCENARIOS
 - 7.3 INADVERTENT AND DELIBERATE INTRUSION
 - 7.4 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS
 - 7.4.1 Quantitative or qualitative
 - 7.4.2 Prescriptive or non-prescriptive (extent of stylisation)
 - 7.4.3 Worst case or random, probabilities/likelihood
 - 7.4.4 Role of IHI for siting
 - 7.4.5 Consideration of Radon in IHI assessment
 - 7.4.6 Consideration of water use for IHI
 - 7.5 Controls During Time Frame from 100 500 Years (Near-surface disposal)



Isolation

- Reduce potential for inadvertent access to waste, not absolute ("sufficient")
- Geologic passive, Near-surface some active
- Graded or proportionate approach to isolation based on relative hazard
- Depth, remoteness, presence of natural and engineered barriers
- Retrievability challenges



Concept of Stylised Scenarios

- Acknowledge actual circumstances of IHI is highly uncertain
- What level? (events, chronic/acute, specific scenarios, input parameters) – links to regulatory approach
- Distribution of waste on ground surface is important parameter
- Translate stylised to "real world" for stakeholders
- Examples (US NRC waste classification (fully generic stylisation), France specific scenarios)
 IAEA

Inadvertent and Deliberate Intrusion

- Distinguish credible from incredible scenarios
- Recommendations to consider current habits, technologies (developing countries?), and *procedures*
- How to address major public works versus individual home (effectiveness of records and land use controls)?
- When is it reasonable to assume that an intruder would recognize that there is waste (deliberate)

similar question to above (public works versus individual home)



Regulatory Considerations

- Quantitative or Qualitative (what criteria, existing exposure/optimisation, background doses)
- Prescriptive or non-prescriptive (extent of sylisation)
- Worst case or random, probabilities/likelihood
- Role of IHI for siting
- Consideration of Radon



Controls During Time Frame from 100-500 Years (Near-Surface)

- Typically some combination of active and passive controls is acceptable to delay intrusion for near-surface facilities
- Time of effectiveness for records, land use, memory (public works vs. individual)
- Effectiveness of barriers and for how long? (concrete/metal barriers, depth, effect of erosion)



General Work Plan

Project will include 1 more plenary meeting

- Working groups will have independent meetings/teleconference, as needed
- IAEA Secretariat, co-chairs and working group leads will have planning meetings/teleconference in advance of plenary



Tentative Schedule

- Participants provide feedback on bullet lists for Chapter 7, general comments on structure and initial content in HIDRA II report (March)
- First draft of text for Chapter 7 for review (June)
- WG meetings/discussions (Summer)
- Comments/feedback on Chapter 7 (August)
- Updated draft text for WG Appendices (August)
- Co-chairs and WG leads meeting (Fall/Winter 2017) Teleconference or meeting?
- Third Plenary (29 Jan 2 Feb 2018)?



HIDRA File Server

https://share.iaea.org Username: WESviewer Password: Environmental33



Concluding Remarks

Thank you to Javlon for his assistance

- Thank you to Thomas and Richard for volunteering to serve as Working Group Leads
- Thank you to all of the Participants for the active participation and feedback
- We are actively addressing some very challenging issues and specific recommendations that can positively impact disposal programs are being considered





